

Capital Improvement Program Taskforce

May 2, 2018

*Jonathan Brinkmann
Principal Planner*

- CIP Re-Prioritization
- Option #1 – Approach cap under existing BRP
- Option #2 – Implementation Agreements post-FORA
- Option #3 – Amend BRP housing caps



CIP Re-Prioritization

- *FORA Board sets CIP priorities*
- *Admin. Committee is advisory to the Board*
- *Admin. Committee makes CIP recommendations to the Board*
- *Staff reports Admin. Committee recommendations and presents CIP for Board consideration, May 11*
- *Admin. Committee can recommend Re-Prioritization of CIP elements throughout the fiscal year*

Approach cap under existing BRP

- 1,814 residential units remain within cap
- FORA does not allocate residential units, first-come-first-served basis
- No single jurisdiction has a groundwater allocation sufficient to use all 1,814 units
- Potential path: **Augment potable water to serve residential development after housing cap**

Jurisdictions follow Implementation Agreements post-FORA

- IAs and deed restrictions include language stating that the property can only be used consistent with the BRP and is constrained by limited resources
- Potential path: **Obtain legal opinion to determine BRP and deed restrictions viability post-FORA**
- Potential path: **If viability confirmed, augment potable water to serve residential units after housing cap reached**

Amend BRP housing caps

- If EIR or supplemental EIR required, cost could exceed \$1 million
- If FORA undertakes an amendment that is not completed by 2020, who would replace FORA as Lead Agency?
- If amendment is completed, augmented water source may also be necessary for Jurisdictions' future development plans
- Potential path: **Jurisdictions' amend BRP housing caps as a component of their development project planning**
- Potential path: **FORA Board direct staff complete BRP housing cap amendment**

Questions?

