

# Eastside Parkway Environmental Review Contract

FORA Board meeting  
October 14, 2016

*Jonathan Brinkmann  
Principal Planner*



- Eastside Parkway Background
- Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Prioritization
- Responses to Questions
- Recommendations

- ▶ 1997 Fort Ord Reuse Plan (Reuse Plan):
  - Identified Eastside Road as a facility within the “on-site” portion of the network
  - Established “Fair Share Financing Program” (section 3.11.5.3 (a)) stating:

FORA shall fund its “Fair Share” of “on-site,” “off-site,” and “regional” roadway and transit capital improvements based on the nexus analysis of the TAMC regional transportation model.

# Eastside Parkway Timeline

1	█											
2		█										
3			█									
4				█								
5					█							
6						█						
7							█					
8								█				
9									█			
10										█		
11											█	
		1994	1997	1997	2005	2005	2010	2010	6/2011	2011	11/2011	10/2016

1. FORA Creation/Act
2. Fort Ord Regional Transportation Study by TAMC
3. Base Reuse Plan Adoption
4. FORA Fee Reallocation Study by TAMC
5. Reallocation Study established new Eastside Road alignment
6. FORA received OEA grant to perform Eastside Road conceptual planning
7. County renamed Eastside Road to Eastside Parkway
8. Board endorsed Eastside Parkway planning (MOA)
9. FORA proceeds with conceptual design
10. KFOW sues County/ FORA/ others on Eastside Parkway MOA
11. **Consider Eastside Parkway Environmental Review Contract**

- ▶ On December 11, 2009, FORA Board approved the 2009/10 mid-year CIP.
- ▶ This approval prioritized Eastside Parkway funding in the CIP.
- ▶ The Board maintained Eastside Parkway funding prioritization in subsequent CIP approvals.

- ▶ **Attachment B** to staff report lists 21 questions from Supervisor Parker's office and staff responses
- ▶ Example question (#2 on list): [Concerning TAMC's experience concerning a contract extension under a CalTrans grant] (staff summary in brackets), whether potential funding sources for Eastside Parkway have those same rules and whether failure to bid the EIR contract would preclude us from applying for money from that agency?
- ▶ *Summarized response: These or similar rules could apply in a federal or state grant application/award. One approach would be to proceed with a re-opened selection process for environmental review.*

- ▶ Direct the Executive Officer/staff to:
  - Conduct an open solicitation for a consultant to perform the Eastside Parkway environmental review in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) & California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements; **or**
  - Authorize the Executive Officer to negotiate and execute a professional services contract amendment #3 (**Attachment A**) with Whitson and Associates, Inc. for the oversight and completion of the Eastside Parkway Environmental Review, not to exceed \$568,100 in additional funding.
  
- ▶ Note: In either recommendation, prior preliminary roadway plans prepared by Whitson would serve as the basis for environmental review.